1		STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3		
4	February 10,	2021 - 10:13 a.m.
5	[Rei	note Hearing conducted via Webex]
6		DT 00 115
7	RE:	DW 20-117 HAMPSTEAD AREA WATER COMPANY, INC.:
8		Request for Change in Rates. (Prehearing conference)
9		
LO	PRESENT:	,
L1		Cmsr. Kathryn M. Bailey
L2		Jody Carmody, Clerk
L 3		Eric Wind, PUC Remote Hearing Host
L 4	APPEARANCES:	Reptg. Hampstead Area Water Co., Inc.: Anthony S. Augeri, Esq.
L 5		Reptg. the Town of Atkinson:
L 6		David Cressman, Town Administrator William M. Baldwin, Selectman
L 7		Karen Steele, pro se
L 8		Reptg. Residential Ratepayers:
L 9		Christa Shute, Esq. Office of Consumer Advocate
20		Reptg. PUC Staff:
21		Christopher R. Tuomala, Esq. Anthony Leone, Gas & Water Division
22		Robyn Descoteau, Gas & Water Division
23	Court Rep	orter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52
2 4		

		\neg
1		
2	INDEX	
3	PAGE NO.	
4	STATEMENTS RE: MOTIONS TO INTERVENE BY:	
5	Mr. Augeri 7	
6	Mr. Tuomala 7	
7	Ms. Steele 9	
8	Mr. Cressman (responding) 10	
9	Mr. Baldwin (responding) 11	
10	QUESTIONS BY:	
11	Chairwoman Martin 9, 10, 11	
12	Commissioner Bailey 9	
13	* * *	
14	STATEMENTS OF PRELIMINARY POSITION BY:	
15	Mr. Augeri 13	
16	Ms. Steele 17	
17	Mr. Baldwin 18	
18	Mr. Cressman 19	
19	Ms. Shute 21	
20	Mr. Augeri <i>(responding)</i> 25	
21	Mr. Tuomala 26	
22	QUESTIONS BY:	
23	Commissioner Bailey 24	
24		

PROCEEDING

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: We're here this morning in Docket DW 20-117 for a prehearing conference regarding the Hampstead Area Water Company, Incorporated, Request for Change in Rates.

We have to make some findings because this is a remote hearing.

As Chairwoman of the Public Utilities

Commission, I find that due to the State of

Emergency declared by the Governor as a result of
the COVID-19 pandemic, and in accordance with the
Governor's Emergency Order Number 12, pursuant to

Executive Order 2020-04, this public body is
authorized to meet electronically. Please note
that there is no physical location to observe and
listen contemporaneously to this hearing, which
was authorized pursuant to the Governor's

Emergency Order.

However, in accordance with the

Emergency Order, I am confirming that we are

utilizing Webex for this electronic hearing. All

members of the Commission have the ability to

communicate contemporaneously during this

hearing, and the public has access to contemporaneously listen and, if necessary, participate.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

We previously gave notice to the public of the necessary information for accessing the hearing in the Order of Notice. If anyone has a problem during the hearing, please call (603) 271-2431. In the event the public is unable to access the hearing, the hearing will be adjourned and rescheduled.

Okay. We have to take roll call attendance. My name is Dianne Martin. I am the Chairwoman of the Public Utilities Commission.

And I am alone.

Commissioner Bailey.

CMSR. BAILEY: Good morning. Kathryn Bailey, Commissioner at the Public Utilities

Commission. And I am alone.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And let's take appearances. Starting with Hampstead Area Water Company, who do we have for the Company today?

MR. AUGERI: Good morning. This is Attorney Tony Augeri. Along with me for the

.

Company is Chris Lewis -- Christine Lewis Morse,
Harold Morse, Vice President and President,
respectively; Charlie Lanza, General Manager;
John Sullivan, Controller; and Stephen St. Cyr,
outside consultant.

2.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Augeri. And for the OCA?

MS. SHUTE: Thank you, Chairwoman

Martin and Commissioner Bailey. My name is

Christa Shute. I'm a Staff Attorney for the

Office of the Consumer Advocate, here on behalf

of residential ratepayers.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.

And for Staff?

MR. TUOMALA: Thank you. And good morning, Madam Chairwoman, Commissioner Bailey.

My name is Christopher Tuomala. I'm a Staff Attorney at the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission, representing the Staff of the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission. In the audience, I have several members of the Water and Gas Division staff, including Anthony Leone and Robyn Descoteau. We don't anticipate having them in the prehearing conference today, but they are

```
available for the Commission, if it so pleases.
 1
 2
                   Thank you.
 3
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
 4
                   And we have a couple of pending
 5
         interventions. Can those who have petitioned to
         intervene please identify yourself and state who
 7
         you represent for the record? Let's start with
         Ms. Steele.
                   MS. STEELE: Hi. This is Karen Steele.
 9
10
         I'm an Atkinson resident.
11
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you,
         Ms. Steele. And I also have the Atkinson Board
12
         of Selectmen. Is someone here for them?
1.3
14
                   MR. CRESSMAN: David Cressman, for the
         Atkinson Selectmen, Town Administrator.
15
16
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
17
         Anybody else?
18
                   MR. BALDWIN: Bill Baldwin, Selectman
19
         for the Town of Atkinson.
20
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. All right.
21
         If that's everyone that we have for intervenors,
2.2
         then I wanted to note that we do, I apologize,
23
         but we do need to take a quick break at 10:25.
24
         And, so, I will just remind everyone of that at
```

1 10:25.

1.3

2.2

And then, we -- on the motions to intervene, I wanted to hear if anybody has objections to those?

MR. AUGERI: Madam Chairwoman, the Hampstead Area Water Company does not have any objections.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Augeri. I see Mr. Tuomala has his hand up.

MR. TUOMALA: Yes, Madam Chairman, if you would indulge me. I don't have an objection, but I just wanted to address Karen Steele's petition, Ms. Steele's petition.

And it doesn't appear that Ms. Steele, judging by the motion, her motion for intervention, that she's a ratepayer. She's, in fact, a taxpayer of the Town of Atkinson, who Atkinson is the ratepayer for HAWC.

So, unless -- I'm going under the assumption that Ms. Steele is not a ratepayer, but is, in fact, a taxpayer. And, if that is the case, I would suggest that the Commission limit her intervention in this case. And, for a few minutes, I just want to go through the reasoning

for that.

2.

1.3

2.2

In Staff's research, it doesn't appear that taxpayers have an automatic right of standing pursuant to RSA 541-A:32, I. But, as the Commission well knows, there's permissible intervention under 541-A:32, II. And the Commission in the past has rejected intervenors who it designated have already been represented by the interest of another intervenor, and in this case it would be the Town of Atkinson representing all taxpayers, and dealing with the rate increase in itself.

Excuse me. But I'm not suggesting that Ms. Steele not be admitted as an intervenor. I would instead again suggest that the Commission limit it, pursuant to 541-A:32, III(a) and (c), that it recognizes the Town of Atkinson is also representing her same interests as a taxpayer, and that their issues be limited to the same issues, the same — that her issues be limited to the same ones that the Town of Atkinson is representing. But, also, that they combine their efforts in its presentation under 541-A:32, III(c), and that they are joined

```
1
         together in representation in this, in this case.
 2.
                    Thank you.
 3
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN:
                                        Thank you,
 4
         Mr. Tuomala. Ms. Steele, would you like to
 5
                   I think it would be helpful if we could
 6
         hear from you whether you are, and I believe your
 7
         petition says that you are a "taxpayer", as
         opposed to a "ratepayer". But, if you could
 8
         confirm or clarify that, and also let us know why
 9
10
         you believe that you need to separately intervene
11
         from the Town?
                   MS. STEELE: Absolutely. So, again,
12
1.3
         thank you.
14
                    I am not a ratepayer. I'm not a HAWC
15
         customer. I do reside within the HAWC franchise,
16
         but I live over a mile from the closest
17
         pipeline. But, as a taxpayer, I'm very concerned
18
         about the fire hydrant maintenance fee increase,
19
         because that would raise our entire Town's budget
20
         by over 1.8 percent.
21
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you,
2.2
         Ms. Steele. Commissioner Bailey, do you have any
23
         questions?
24
                   CMSR. BAILEY:
                                   I just want to confirm
```

```
1
         with the Town that they are also requesting
 2.
         intervention status because they are a ratepayer
         and the impact on the rates for the Town
 3
 4
         services?
 5
                    MR. CRESSMAN:
                                   The Town is intervening
 6
         as a ratepayer. We pay the rate for the fire
 7
         hydrants. But we also have a number of Town
         buildings and facilities that are served by HAWC,
         in terms of water.
 9
10
                    CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. Thank you.
11
                                   So, both.
                    MR. CRESSMAN:
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Does the Town want
12
1.3
         to be heard on the suggestion from counsel for
         Staff regarding consolidation of efforts?
14
                    MR. CRESSMAN: I'm not sure if
15
16
         Selectman Baldwin wants to make any comment on
17
         it.
              Selectman Baldwin?
18
                    I don't see him there right now.
19
         guess what I would say is, in terms of the
20
         attorney's recommendation, I understand where his
2.1
         recommendation is coming from. And I don't think
2.2
         we have an objection to it.
23
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Mr. Baldwin,
24
         did you hear that question?
```

```
1
                                  I apologize. I had to
                   MR. BALDWIN:
         step out for a second. I did not.
 2.
 3
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. I had asked
 4
         if the Town had any response to counsel for
 5
         Staff's suggestion that the Town and Ms. Steele
 6
         consolidate their efforts? And Mr. Cressman had
 7
         responded, but wanted your input as well.
                   MR. BALDWIN: Yes. I concur with that,
         with Mr. Cressman.
 9
10
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
11
         All right. Well, I think what we're going to do,
12
         given that input, is take the interventions under
         advisement and we'll issue an order. But, for
1.3
14
         today's purposes here, and in the technical
15
         session, please treat those who have petitioned
16
         to intervene as parties for purposes of our work
17
         here today.
18
                   Any other preliminary matters, before
19
         we take initial positions?
20
                    [No verbal response.]
2.1
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Seeing none,
2.2
         I think we will break now. And we will return at
23
         10:25 -- sorry -- 10:35. I apologize again, that
24
         we're just getting started, but we do need to
```

```
take a break. Off the record.
 1
 2
                    (Recess taken at 10:25 a.m., and the
 3
                    prehearing conference resumed at
                    10:36 a.m.)
 4
 5
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Let's go back on
 6
         the record.
 7
                    And we'll start with the initial
         positions. Mr. Augeri, you can go first.
                    Mr. Augeri? Can you hear me? Eric,
 9
10
         can you hear me?
11
                    (Mr. Wind indicating in the
                    affirmative.)
12
1.3
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Anyone have a way
14
         to communicate with Mr. Augeri?
15
                    We cannot hear you for some reason.
                                                          Ιt
         doesn't look like his mute is on.
16
17
                    MS. SHUTE: If he dialed in through his
18
         phone, his phone might be on mute.
19
                    MR. AUGERI: Let me try that. Ah.
20
         That worked.
21
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay.
2.2
                    MR. AUGERI: Sorry about that.
23
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you,
         Ms. Shute.
24
```

MR. AUGERI: Very good. Thank you.

2 Good morning. Thank you for the time to present

3 to the Hampstead Area Water Company the

4 preliminary statement of position.

1.3

2.2

HAWC requests that the Commission accept its filing in support for an increase in rates, both on a temporary basis and permanent basis.

For the permanent rates, HAWC also requests that a new rate be implemented on a rate design, which proposes an increase both to the customer base charge and to the volumetric charge. In addition, HAWC requests implementation of a Water Infrastructure and Conservation Adjustment as part of this Petition, which is referred to as "WICA", for a surcharge of WICA.

Overall, on a temporary rate basis,

HAWC is proposing a revenue requirement of \$2.3

million, which is an increase of approximately

\$300,000, or 15 percent, from general customers.

On a permanent rate basis, HAWC is proposing a

revenue requirement of \$3.5 million, which is an

increase of approximately \$1.5 million, or 77

percent.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

A summary of the issues affecting the revenue increase that we're proposing is as follows, broken down by temporary and permanent rates: For the temporary rates, HAWC did earn its authorized rate of -- did not return [sic] its authorized rate of return during the test year it submitted. As such, part of the rate application that has been made is simply to allow HAWC to recover its costs and earn its PUC-approved rate of return.

Second, HAWC incurred normal replacement of plant during this process and/or new plant during the test year, which contributes to the request for temporary rates.

Third, HAWC has incurred normal increases in its expenses. And, finally, HAWC's last rate of increase was approved in Docket DW 17-118, based on a pro-formed 2016 test year.

As to the permanent rates, HAWC is participating in the Southern New Hampshire Regional Water Project, as the Commission knows, as referenced, Docket 19-147. And, as part of that Project built a one million gallon storage

tank, which is the subject of a different petition, Docket 18-138.

2.

1.3

2.2

The Company has also changed its water treatment for the core system to match that used as part of this Water Project, and made several system upgrades. This was all done to accept the water as part of this Project from Manchester, which flows from Derry, into Windham, Salem, into the HAWC core system, and, ultimately, to the Town of Plaistow. The purpose of this entire Project is to help solve their water contamination issues.

HAWC's customers, however, will benefit from this Project, in that they will gain a minimum water quantity from Manchester to supplement the growing water needs, both domestic and commercial use, and also to improve its fire suppression capabilities.

It should be noted, however, that the source of much of the funding is from the State of New Hampshire, which includes about 62 and a half percent for a grant agreement toward the storage tank, and 100 percent grant towards substantial parts of the Southern New Hampshire

Regional Water Project.

1.3

2.2

Concerning the new rate design being proposed by HAWC, HAWC commissioned a cost of service study. And, based on this study, HAWC is proposing an increase to increase its customer base charges depending on the size of the meter that is supplying the water, and also for volumetric charges to a tiered rate system. Two tiers for a single family residential customer and a separate rate for non-single family and non-residential customers.

Finally, the Company, as part of this Petition, is also seeking to implement a WICA surcharge beginning in 2021. The total annual revenue requirement for this program, as proposed, is about \$10,800 annually, which would result in an annual surcharge per customer of \$3.07, or about 26 cents per month per customer.

In conclusion, HAWC respectfully requests that the Commission approve both the temporary rates requested, the permanent rates, including the new rate design, and the WICA surcharge. We anticipate working with the PUC Staff and the OCA, and other parties that have

```
intervened, to reach a mutually agreeable
 1
         settlement of all of these issues.
 2.
 3
                    Thank you.
 4
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay.
                                               Thank you,
 5
         Mr. Augeri.
                    Commissioner Bailey, do you have any
 7
         questions?
                    (Commissioner Bailey indicating in the
 9
                    negative.)
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Let's move
10
11
         on to Ms. Steele. Ms. Steele, did you want to
12
         make an initial position in this case?
                    MS. STEELE: Yes.
1.3
14
                    I'm opposed to the massive rate
15
         increases. I do understand that, because of the
16
         water pipeline, there were significant expenses.
17
         But I also believe that those expenses were not
18
         necessarily for the new customers. They're for
19
         future customers that are planned to be built,
20
         they're actually currently being built on another
21
         of the same family, the Lewis family, who owns
2.2
         the Atkinson Country Club.
23
                    So, I don't necessarily believe that
24
         the expenses incurred were for the benefit of the
```

```
1
         existing customers or their sister company
         organizations.
 2.
 3
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN:
                                        Okay. Thank you,
 4
         Ms. Steele. Commissioner Bailey, any questions?
 5
                    (Commissioner Bailey indicating in the
 6
                   negative.)
 7
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And, Mr.
         Cressman, does the Town want to make an initial
 8
         position statement?
 9
10
                   MR. CRESSMAN: I'll allow Selectman
11
         Baldwin to start off, and then I'll conclude with
12
         any.
1.3
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Mr. Baldwin.
14
                   MR. BALDWIN: Thank you.
15
                   So, our position, you know, as a
16
         commercial customer, and also as a municipal fire
17
         protection, you know, that we have in the
18
         community, we feel that the -- it would be an
19
         adverse effect with these rate increases, to the
20
         community and for us. Simply from, as you know,
21
         everything is run by a tax base. And, with this
2.2
         significant type of increase, it will definitely
23
         have an impact on the tax rate.
24
                   And we're just looking to, you know, I
```

understand, as a business, you know, you have costs that come with the business, and I understand how, you know, some of that is relayed back to the customer. But, at a significant, huge rate increase, I think is just — it will have an adverse effect overall. And, to find the funding to support that, you have to reach out to the taxpayer. And that's one of our purposes for intervening on this is — to do the intervention is to look out for the taxpayer that's going to have to fund this cost for the Town.

So, I think that some significant -- we have to look at this from that perspective. And, hopefully, we can come to an amenable agreement that won't be of a significant impact for the community and for us.

Mr. Cressman.

2.

1.3

2.2

MR. CRESSMAN: I think my concern, on behalf of the Selectmen, who are, in a sense, representing the Town, is that there appears to be a very disproportionate increase proposed for the hydrant service. And, in a sense, we are mystified by what the increased value that we're getting that would require such an increase.

And we're looking at an increase that we're going from roughly \$17,800, to \$112,000. That's an increase of almost \$100,000. That kind of increase is clearly more than the percentage increase right now on I believe our operating budget, or very close to that amount. And, ironically, it's an operating budget that the Budget Committee just did recommend for the next, you know, this fiscal year. So, we're clearly in a very tight position fiscally to absorb such increases.

1.3

2.2

And I think the further thing I would note is that I think I'm understanding of the drive for some of these increases are due to the Southern New Hampshire Water Project, and I believe also this CIAC tax. And, while I'm very sympathetic to the problems that they have there as a result of those, I don't believe the Town is a direct participant in those matters and -- or, a signatory, but, you know, having to absorb the cost.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Cressman. Commissioner Bailey, any questions?

1 (Commissioner Bailey indicating in the 2 negative.) 3 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. 4 Ms. Shute. 5 MS. SHUTE: Thank you, Chairwoman 6 Martin. 7 The OCA has a variety of concerns with this rate case and the associated substantial 8 rate hike, the addition of the WICA, and a push 9 10 toward higher fixed charges, which ratepayers 11 cannot control. I'd also like to object to the motion 12 1.3 that the Company filed for confidentiality. 14 Company's motion seeks a protective order and 15 confidential treatment for payments to related 16 entities for contractual services, which they 17 identified as being in 1604.01(a)(17), and 18 support for figures -- and the support for 19 figures appearing in accompanying exhibits to 20 1604.01(a)(26). 21 The motion states that the information 2.2 is located at Tab 31. However, Tab 31 is not 23 marked "confidential" in the confidential

24

version, and it is unclear what in Tab 31 is

actually confidential.

1.3

2.2

The other item identified out of 1604.01(a)(26) should, according to the index, be located at Tab 40. However, Tab 40 states that the information does not exist.

So, for the previous reasons, I find that they don't have the information necessary to evaluate the motion for confidentiality and provide a more detailed objection. So, I'd like to submit this objection orally at this time, and request the additional information that would be necessary to do a more detailed evaluation.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you for that, Ms. Shute.

MS. SHUTE: This, and some of the items that I just mentioned as concerns in terms of -- so, the search for the confidentiality sort of brought to light another concern with the administrative filing of this docket. So, when I was searching for confidential material, I searched for the word "confidential" in all three confidential documents, and it only occurred in the 261 pages, which was in the Index. And this appears to be because a substantial portion of

the filing has been scanned. Yet, many of the documents that are in the filing appear to have originally been pdfs, and, therefore, they could be combined electronically, so that at least the majority of the filing is searchable.

1.3

2.2

In addition to the inability to scan for key words to find a location in the filing, the pdfs are also bereft of bookmarks identifying the location of the tab, which is more of a concern in the times that we are dealing with now, because we don't have paper copies. And I'm not going to print 267 pages on my home printer. It would be fine if the -- if the pdf didn't have a tab, if there was at least a paginated table of contents that was associated with the Index.

So, I realize that these concerns may seem minor. But, at the OCA, we are down 25 percent of our professional staff. We're working on four water rate cases, a gas rate case, and a myriad of other time-intensive dockets. So, it's been frustrating to spend time looking for items that might otherwise have been found if the filing was done in a more -- in a more modern manner, so I might have the time to

```
1
         deal with the more substantive issues.
 2
                    So, I would humbly ask if the
 3
         Commission could provide direction to the Company
 4
         to provide a filing that increases the searchable
 5
         content of the pdf, and includes an index with
 6
         pagination or a table of contents.
 7
                    On the substantive issues, we are
         developing our positions, and look forward to
 9
         working with all the parties. Particularly look
10
         forward to working with the Company and the Staff
11
         to gather more information, and find a solution
12
         that meets the needs of residential ratepayers
         for safe and reliable water at reasonable rates.
1.3
14
                    Thank you very much.
15
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you,
16
         Ms. Shute. Commissioner Bailey, do you have
17
         questions of Ms. Shute?
18
                    (Commissioner Bailey indicating in the
19
                    negative.)
20
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay.
2.1
                    CMSR. BAILEY: But I would like to hear
2.2
         the Company's response to a request for a
23
         searchable pdf, because I find that helpful as
24
         well?
```

MR. AUGERI: Sure. The issue is two-fold. A searchable, yet confidential, pdf, where it's -- some of it is going to be deemed confidential and some others, is very difficult to achieve. In fact, we went through that.

1.3

2.2

So, to the extent that, you know, we, obviously, want to provide a solution to the OCA. It may be -- I mean, we'll look into it further to see if that's achievable in such a large document, where you can search only parts of the areas. It may be that the solution is to provide them with a hard copy. And I know, under the current order, we're not supposed to be doing that. But the alternative may not even be possible.

So, we're glad to do whatever we can, obviously, so that they can substantively respond. But it just may not be, in a document that size, achievable.

CMSR. BAILEY: Can't you make the redacted version searchable?

MR. AUGERI: I think it's the opposite.

I believe it's the -- that's the problem, is the redactable version, to both use the redaction

feature of the pdf and then make it searchable is 1 2 the issue. The non-redactable potentially --3 well, I guess I'll say it this way. We'll 4 certainly try to resolve that issue. And, if 5 it's at all humanly possible, and, if not, or if 6 we can't do that, because of the swaths of what 7 is redacted, then we can either report back or just provide an actual paper copy with all of the 9 indexes that was provided. 10 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Rather than spend 11 too much time debating this now, I'd suggest that 12 the parties discuss this at the technical 1.3 session, and Staff assist in coming up with a 14 reasonable solution. And, if not able to do so, 15 please let us know. 16 I do think it is important, right now, 17 given the limited resources of not only the OCA, 18 but the PUC, to make it manageable to get to all 19 of that information. 20 MR. AUGERI: Absolutely. Happy to do 21 that. 2.2 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. 23 And Mr. Tuomala. 24 MR. TUOMALA: Thank you, Madam

Chairwoman.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

Staff has begun its preliminary review of the Company's filing. And a number of the high-level issues, all of the parties have already touched upon. But I will give our list right now.

We're preliminarily looking into the prudency of HAWC's participation in the Southern New Hampshire Regional Water Interconnection Project that the parties have spoken about. And we'll certainly be looking into the cost of service studies that were referred to by both the Town and Ms. Steele.

Looking into the 0.25 percent adder to the ROE for what the Company deems exemplary performance. The WICA, the Water Infrastructure and Conservation Adjustment surcharge that the Company had spoken about.

There's also some proposed tariff
amendments. One, in particular, that concerns
the Merrimack Source Development Charge for water
supplied by Manchester Water Works we're going to
be looking into. The inclining block rate for
the single family residential customers. Again,

that's tied to the cost of service study, but
Staff will be exploring that.

1.3

2.2

And, as a preliminary matter we hope to address today, other than the procedural schedule, which we would like to come to agreement with the parties, at the technical session today we'll be addressing their request for temporary rates, which, at this point, is an increase in the consumption charge, from \$6.11 per ccf consumed to \$7.22 per ccf consumed.

And, again, as I stated, we look forward to working with all parties, and discussing these matters, including, as the Commission has directed, for us to hopefully come to a solution about a searchable document for everyone, because Staff supports that as well. It makes everyone's life easier. And we hope to have a productive session following the prehearing conference.

Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Tuomala.

I would ask, too, in the technical session, if you can address the concerns that

```
1
         Ms. Shute raised related to the confidentiality
 2
         motion, so that she is in a position to either
 3
         file an objection or not.
 4
                   And, Ms. Shute, I assume you will file
 5
         a written objection, after you receive that
 6
         information, if there is --
 7
                   MS. SHUTE: Yes, Chairwoman.
                                                  Yes.
         Thank you.
 9
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN:
                                        Thank you.
         Commissioner Bailey, did you have questions for
10
11
         Mr. Tuomala?
12
                   CMSR. BAILEY: No.
                                        Thank you.
1.3
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And, Mr. Baldwin, I
14
         saw that you had your hand up. Did you have
15
         something to add?
16
                   MR. BALDWIN: Yes. I just have a
17
         question for Mr. Tuomala.
18
                    So, if I got you -- I have it correct,
19
         the figures that you have, is that applying, the
20
         temporary increase, is that also for commercial,
21
         too?
2.2
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baldwin?
23
                   MR. BALDWIN: Yes.
24
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN:
                                        I'm going to ask
```

```
1
         that you just address those kind of questions
 2
         with the other parties during the technical
 3
         session, which will follow this immediately.
 4
                    MR. BALDWIN: Okay, Madam Chairwoman.
 5
         Thank you.
 6
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN:
                                        Thank you.
 7
         there anything else we need to cover before the
         technical session?
 8
 9
                    [No verbal response.]
10
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Then, this
11
         technical session -- this prehearing conference
         is adjourned, and you can head to the technical
12
         session. Stay on this Webex to do that, okay,
1.3
         and the Commissioners will leave.
14
15
                    Thank you. And have a good day,
16
         everyone.
17
                    (Whereupon the hearing was adjourned
                    at 10:58 a.m.)
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
```